



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/516,685 | 12/06/2004 | Adi Shfaram | 26552U | 6629 |
| 20529 | 7590 | 09/04/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| THE NATH LAW GROUP 112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314 | | | | SWEET, THOMAS |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3774 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 09/04/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/516,685 | SHFARAM ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Thomas J. Sweet | 3774 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas J. Sweet. (3) Ari Zytcer.
 (2) Susanne Hopkins. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 September 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Plural Alternatives to the previous suggested claim language were discussed. Some of which wasn't clearly supported or were indefinite. The Examiner suggest adding "imparting a lifted and natural shape" to the preamble as being acceptable as opposed to the others discussed. The addition of the wording "cradling portion"for clarity in the second to last line of claim 1 is acceptable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|---|--|
| /Thomas J Sweet/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774 | |
|---|--|